

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/031,509	05/21/2002	Eric Paul Krenning	0702-020040	6829
28289	7590 11/08/	5	EXAMINER	
THE WEBB LAW FIRM, P.C. 700 KOPPERS BUILDING			HUI, SAN MING R	
	TH AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PITTSBURG	H, PA 15219		1617	

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/031,	509	KRENNING ET A	۱L.			
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		San-mir		1617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet (with the correspondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statustic to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extende	ILING DATE OF T f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no inication. utory period will apply and ill, by statute, cause the a	FHIS COMMUN event, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MC pplication to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status				·				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 29 August 200	05.		•			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for	•		atters, prosecution as to the	e merits is			
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>53,55-58 and 60-63</u> is/are pe	ending in the appli	cation.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖾	Claim(s) <u>53,55-58 and 60-63</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a)⊡ accepted or I	o) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to t	by the Examiner. I	Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P7	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the Internationa	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC		Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date	0.453)			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date	10/24/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

۲,

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's response filed August 29, 2005 have been entered.

No claim was amended. Claims 53, 55-58, and 60-63 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 53, 55-58, and 60-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,648,059 ('059), US 5,843,894 ('894) and Hammond et al. (Br. J. Cancer, 1993;67:1437-1439) from IDS filed April 21, 2003).

'059 teaches L-lysine, arginine and ornithine as useful as inhibiting the retention and reabsorption of therapeutic immunoconjugate such as antibodies and monoclonal antibodies (See claims 1, 2, 3, and 5). '059 teaches protein uptake by the kidney as decreased when a dosage of 10mg lysine is administered to a rat animal (See col. 10-11, Examples I-III).

'894 teaches D-Lysine as useful in a method of reducing the uptake of antibody fragments (See the abstract). '894 teaches the effective dosage of lysine and polysine solution effective in reducing uptake of antibody fragments as 2-35g/L and 10-25g/L respectively (See col. 6, lines 15-28).

Art Unit: 1617

Hammond teaches amino acids 4.93g/L of lysine and 17.6 g /L of arginine as useful in blocking renal tubular uptake of somatostatin (See page 1437, col.2, Materials and Methods section).

The references do not expressly teach the herein claimed dosage of lysine and arginine. The references do not expressly teach the use of lysine and arginine together.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention made to adjust amount of the herein claimed active to the herein claimed dosage. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ lysine and arginine together in a method of inhibiting renal reuptake of protein or peptides.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to adjust amount of lysine, polylysine, and arginine to the herein claimed dosage since the optimization of the result parameters as within the purview of the skilled artisan, absent evidence to the contrary. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ lysine and arginine together in a method of inhibiting renal reuptake of protein since they are known to be useful individually to inhibit or reducing the renal uptake of antibodies or fragments, concomitantly employing both agents for the very same purpose would be prima facie obvious (See *In re Kerkhoven* 205 USPQ 1069). At least additive effect would be expected.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 29, 2005 averring 059 and '894's failure to teach the combination of herein claimed amino acids have been fully considered but

Art Unit: 1617

they are not persuasive. The new ground of rejection is presented in the instant office action and therefore, such arguments are considered moot.

Applicant's arguments filed August 29, 2005 averring Hammond's failure to teach the combination of only two amino acids have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Examiner notes that the method recited herein contains the phrase "comprising" which is an open-end transitional phrase that permit anything other than lysine and arginine be employed in the instant method. Therefore, the claims are still considered properly rejected under 35 USC 103(a)/

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to San-ming Hui whose telephone number is (571) 272-0626. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon 9:00 to 1:00, Tu - Fri from 9:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, PhD., can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

San-ming Hui
Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 1617